Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00343 091419Z

46

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 ACDE-00 ERDE-00 NRC-05 ERDA-05

CIAE-00 H-02 INR-07 IO-10 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01

PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01 SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06

TRSE-00 NSC-05 BIB-01 /094 W

----- 11036

P R 091340Z JUL 75
FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1103
SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
INFO USMISSION NATO
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T MBFR VIENNA 0343

FROM US REP MBFR

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: JULY 4 TRILATERAL MEETING IN BONN

REF: A) USNATO 3607; B) USNATO 3642

- 1. I SHOULD LIKE TO ADD TWO COMMENTS TO THE REPORT OF THE JULY 4 TRILATERAL MEETING OF OPTION 3 EXPERTS IN BONN CONTAINED IN REF (A).
- 2. DURING DISCUSSION OF THE US DRAFT SUPPLEMENT TO THE NAC GUIDANCE ON OPTION 3 (PARA 9 REFTEL), US REPS REFRAINED FROM CALLING ATTENTION TO THE FACT THAT THE DRAFT SUPPLEMENT DOES NOT DEAL WITH POINT RAISED IN PARA 6(E) OF FRG SPEAKING NOTES OF JUNE 23 (USNATO 3397). FRG POINT WAS AS FOLLOWS: "IN INTRODUCING OPTION 3, THE SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00343 091419Z

POSSIBILITY OF A NEGATIVE REACTION OF THE EAST TO ITS

INTRODUCTION SHOULD ALSO BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION. THIS IS DONE IN PARA 21 OF THE AMERICAN PAPER (STATE 132089). A CLEAR ALLIANCE POSITION ON THIS POINT IS NECESSARY. ANY EXTENSION OF OPTION 3 MUST BE EXCLUDED." JUDGING FROM THE STRONG LANGUAGE IN PARA 6(E), IT APPEARS LIKELY THAT FRG WILL INSIST ON THIS POINT. ACCORDINGLY, WE SUGGEST WASHINGTON DRAFT LANGUAGE ON THIS TOPIC FOR POSSIBLE INCLUSION IN THE SUPPLEMENT IF FRG INSISTS

- 3. FOR WASHINGTON CONSIDERATION, WE WOULD SUGGEST LANGUAGE ALONG THE FOLLOWING LINES: "THE TACTICAL PURPOSE OF INTRODUCING OPTION 3 IS TO ENGAGE THE EAST IN A SERIOUS DISCUSSION OF REDUCTIONS AND TO ESTABLISH THE OVERALL FRAMEWORK FOR A VIABLE PHASE I AGREEMENT. THE EAST MAY PROVE UNWILLING TO REACH AGREEMENT ON ANY BASIS COMPATIBLE WITH ALLIED NEGOTIATING OBJECTIVES. IN SUCH CASE, THE ALLIES CONSIDER THAT FAILURE TO REACH AGREEMENT WOULD BE PREFERABLE TO AN AGREEMENT WHICH EITHER (A) WOULD DEGRADE THE MILITARY BALANCE OR (B) WOULD SIGNIFICANTLY CONSTRAIN NATO'S ABILITY TO IMPROVE ITS POSITION UNILATERALLY WITHOUT GIVING NATO COMPENSATING ADVANTAGES IN TERMS OF EASTERN REDUCTIONS AND CONSTRAINTS ON EASTERN FORCE CAPABILITIES." WE RECOMMEND THAT ANY LANGUAGE TO THIS EFFECT NOT BE PUT FORWARD TO THE FRG UNTIL AND UNLESS IT BECOMES EVIDENT THAT ITS INCLUSION IN THE SUPPLEMENT IS NEEDED TO OBTAIN FRG AGREEMENT TO OPTION 3. WE ALSO SUGGEST THAT IF LANGUAGE ON THIS TOPIC IS PUT FORWARD, IT BE KEPT SEPARATE FROM TREATMENT OF THE CEILINGS ISSUE OF LIMITATIONS ON ALLIED ARMAMENTS. IN PARTICULAR, THE CONTENT OF PARA 9 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE TO THE AD HOC GROUP, SO THAT THE TWO ISSUES DO NOT BECOME INTERTWINED. WE WISH TO AVOID ANY IMPLICATION THAT THE US HAS AGREED TO DROP THE MBFR PROJECT IF THE EAST MAKES IT A REQUIRE-MENT THAT THE LIMITATIONS WHICH THE US IS PREPARED TO ACCEPT ON ITS ARMAMENTS BE EXTENDED TO THE NATO ALLIES.
- 4. THE SECOND POINT CONCERNS THE FRG REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL DATA WITH RESPECT TO SOVIET NUCLEARS (PARA 11 OF REF A AND SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00343 091419Z

USNATO 3558). WE SUGGEST THAT, TO MEET FRG INTERESTS AND TO AVOID DELAY IN FRG DECISION-MAKING ON OPTION 3, IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO PROVIDE THE FRG BILATERALLY WITH SOME DATA IN RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST, PERHAPS BALANCING THIS DATA WITH DATA ON US AND UK NUCLEAR COMMITMENTS PLUS FRENCH NUCLEAR ELEMENTS. THIS INFORMATION MAY WELL BE CONTAINED IN NATO DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE TO SOME MOD OFFICIALS IN BONN. HOWEVER, IT MAY NOT BE READILY AVAILABLE

TO FOREIGN MINISTRY OFFICIALS. IN THE INTERESTS OF AVOIDING UNNECESSARY DELAY, WE THINK IT WOULD BE WORTH-WHILE FOR WASHINGTON TO SUPPLY THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE GERMANS DIRECTLY.RESOR

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: POLICIES, NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL, REPORTS, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS, OPTION III, MEETINGS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 09 JUL 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975MBERV00343

Document Number: 1975MBFRV00343 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A Film Number: D750236-0891 From: MBFR VIENNA Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750773/aaaacnep.tel Line Count: 119 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION ACDA **Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a

Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 USNATO 3607, 75 USNATO 3642
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 01 APR 2003

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2003 by Izenbel0>; APPROVED <02 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JÚL 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: MBFR: JULY 4 TRILATERAL MEETING IN BONN

TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR
To: STATE DOD

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006